

Indirect calorimetry in critical illness: a new standard of care?

Elisabeth De Waele^{a,b,c}, Joop Jonckheer^b, and Paul Wischmeyer^d

Purpose of review

Review recent literature on role of indirect calorimetry in critical care nutrition management.

Recent findings

Critical illness demands objective, targeted nutritional therapy to prevent adverse effects of underfeeding/ over feeding. Thus, all recent societal guidelines recommend indirect calorimetry use to determine energy needs. Very recently, indirect calorimetry technology has finally evolved to allow for accurate, simple, and routine utilization in a wider range of ICU patients. Recent data continues to confirm poor correlation between measured and equation-predicted energy expenditure emphasizing need for indirect calorimetry to be standard of care. This may be particularly true in COVID-19, where significant progressive hypermetabolism and variability in energy expenditure has been shown. Metabolic physiology can change frequently during ICU stay in response to changes in clinical condition or care. Thus, repeated longitudinal indirect calorimetry measures are needed throughout ICU stay to optimize care, with initial data showing improved clinical outcomes when indirect calorimetry targets are utilized.

Summary

Personalized ICU care demands objective data to guide therapy. This includes use of indirect calorimetry to determine energy expenditure and guide ICU nutrition therapy. Long-awaited new innovations in indirect calorimetry technology should finally lead to indirect calorimetry to becoming a fundamental component of modern ICU standard of care and clinical research moving forward.

Keywords

coronavirus disease 2019, ICU, indirect calorimetry, metabolism, nutrition

INTRODUCTION

The use of indirect calorimetry or the metabolic cart as a monitor for resting energy expenditure (REE) and a guide for caloric dosing in critically ill patients is undergoing a 'rebirth' and rapid growth from both a scientific (PubMed results on 'indirect calorimetry AND ICU' increased with 263% in the last 10 years) and clinical recommendation perspective (stimulated by recommendations by European, American and Canadian nutrition societies) [1^{••},2]. An excellent recent narrative review on indirect calorimetry principles and modern routine use was recently published by Achamrah et al. entitled 'Indirect calorimetry: The 6 main issues'. This review demonstrated rapidly evolving knowledge on technical indirect calorimetry procedures, and interpretation is now available to ensure well tolerated use of indirect calorimetry as a routine monitor in ICU [3^{••}]. As an example, the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic of 2020 obliged the ICU nutrition world to launch new targeted guidelines for nutrition therapy in COVID-19 ICU patients. Throughout the year, ICU nutrition protocols were launched, most all of which included the key role of indirect calorimetry (Table 1). COVID-19 guideline authors confirm the essential role of indirect calorimetry but suggest key safety precautions be taken to optimally use in this new pandemic illness.

A NEW INNOVATION IN ICU METABOLIC AND NUTRITION CARE: THE CREATION OF A NEW GENERATION METABOLIC CART

Predictive equations for measured REE have repeatedly failed to show reasonable correlation with

Correspondence to Elisabeth De Waele, ICU Department, UZ Brussel, 101, Laarbeeklaan, 1090 Jette, Belgium.

E-mail: elisabeth.dewaele@uzbrussel.be

Curr Opin Crit Care 2021, 26:000-000 DOI:10.1097/MCC.000000000000844

1070-5295 Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2021 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

www.co-criticalcare.com

^aDepartment of Clinical Nutrition, ^bDepartment of Intensive Care Medicine, ^cFaculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium and ^dDepartment of Anesthesiology and Surgery, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, USA

KEY POINTS

- Indirect calorimetry is the gold standard by which to measure energy expenditure and is universally recommended for use in the ICU by all existing societal nutrition guidelines.
- New innovations in metabolic cart technology have occurred recently, including the development of a new generation indirect calorimeter that is accurate, selfcalibrating, and simple to operate providing mREE measurements rapidly in a wider range of ICU patients.
- Indirect calorimetry is well tolerated and feasible in COVID-19 patients, who demonstrate progressive hypermetabolism and marked variability in energy needs when measured via indirect calorimetry.
- Indirect calorimetry-derived REE should always be interpreted within the framework of the physiological condition of the patient, and repeated longitudinal IC measures are needed during ICU stay to account for the ever-changing physiology of the critically ill patient.
- Given data for inaccuracies of predictive equations and wide availability of new generation metabolic cart device, longitudinal indirect calorimetry should become the new standard of care to personalize and optimize ICU nutrition therapy in clinical care and future ICU nutrition research trials.

indirect calorimetry-measured values [4,5,6[•],7[•],8^{••}]. This data continues to grow and has recently been shown again by the work of Singer *et al.* [8^{•••}] and others [6[•],7[•]]. It continues to reinforce the inaccuracies of predictive equations to determine ICU nutrition targets as well as the need for routine indirect calorimetry use [6[•],7[•],8^{••}]. The ventilatorderived carbon dioxide consumption (EEVCO₂) method to calculate energy expenditure seemed promising as an alternative to a separate measurement by indirect calorimetry. In a large prospective cohort study, the mean energy expenditure by indirect calorimetry and by EEVCO₂ was 511 kcal. This unfortunately is clinically unacceptable and indicates it is not a valid alternative to true indirect calorimetry measures. EEVCO₂ overestimates energy expenditure, and the introduction of the food quotient did not improve performance [9[•]]. Thus, it is clear that longitudinal indirect calorimetry measures are needed to accurately target nutrition therapy in the ICU setting.

Unfortunately, recent studies have shown current commercially available indirect calorimeters are often inaccurate [10,11], and the inconveniences and challenges of routine ICU indirect calorimetry measurements [i.e. complex maintenance, challenging calibration, long warm up duration, large device size,

and limitation of fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂) etc.] have led to significant challenges to routine indirect calorimetry use in ICU practice[12,13]. To address this critical need for a next generation indirect calorimetry device, an ambitious undertaking was launched uniting academic ICU nutrition leaders with industry innovation experts to address this vital deficiency in ICU nutrition care. This International Multicentric Study Group for Indirect Calorimetry (ICALIC) set out to develop an accurate, user-friendly, reasonable cost, reliable metabolic cart (indirect calorimetry) to measure energy targets and metabolic measures in critically ill and other hospitalized patients. The result of this endeavor was the development of the innovative next-generation Q-NRG indirect calorimetry device (Baxter, USA and COSMED Inc, Italy), which has received U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval and has recently become available worldwide [13].

The new device was rigorously validated versus the gold-standard of mass spectroscopy for analytical performance and accuracy. It allows accurate indirect calorimetry measurements in a much wider range of patients as it showed accurate measurements at FiO₂ delivery of up to 70%, extending the longstanding traditional ranges of most existing indirect calorimetry devices where use is limited to FiO_2 60% or less [14^{••}]. A comparison of the performance of the new generation Q-NRG indirect calorimetry device versus existing indirect calorimetry devices in clinical practice was recently described in a new publication [15^{••}]. The study examined real-world indirect calorimetry device performance between the new Q-NRG indirect calorimetry and existing indirect calorimetry devices in six academic ICU centers across three continents. The new metabolic cart demonstrated much shorter measurement periods to yield accurate steady state energy expenditure results in mechanically ventilated ICU patients compared with existing indirect calorimetry devices. (The Q-NRG was able to deliver accurate, steady state measures in 5–10 min versus >35 min in most other indirect calorimetry devices). Current data indicates the new Q-NRG device fills a longstanding void in ICU and clinical nutrition care as the only commercially available indirect calorimetry device tested against mass spectrometry to ensure gas accuracy, while being simple and easy-to use for longitudinal indirect calorimetry measures in a range of patients in and out of the ICU environment. These characteristics finally allow for wide-spread implementation of indirect calorimetry for the critical ill patients to optimize prescription of nutrition therapy via objective measurement of energy targets, thus potentially limiting poor clinical outcomes because of the common risk of underfeeding or overfeeding.

Volume 27 • Number 00 • Month 2021

Copyright © 2021 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Title	Authors, journal	Publication online/final	Statement about Indirect calorimetry
ESPEN expert statements and practical guidance for nutritional management of individuals with SARS-CoV-2 infection	Barazzoni et al., Clinical Nutrition	March 2020 June 2020	Energy needs can be assessed using indirect calorimetry if safely available with ensured sterility of the measurement system
Nutrition Therapy in Critically Ill Patients with Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19)	Martindale et al., JPEN	May 2020 September 2020	Although energy requirements can ideally be determined by indirect calorimetry, this technology would involve contamination of equipment and additional exposure to healthcare providers. Thus, we recommend utilizing weight-based equations instead of indirect calorimetry to estimate energy requirements as a practical matter for the COVID-19 patients.
Nutrition Support in the ICU— A Refresher in the Era of COVID-19	Micic et al., Am J Gastroenterol	July 2020 September 2020	Although energy expenditure is best measured by indirect calorimetry in critically ill patients, the prolonged time needed for these measures increases clinician risk for viral exposure and is contrary to the principle of 'clustering care', in which patient care is bundled to limit provider exposures. Consider indirect calorimetry if prolonged intubation (>7 days)
Nutrition of the COVID-19 patient in the intensive care unit (ICU): a practical guidance	Thibault et al., Crit Care	July 2020 July 2020	indirect calorimetry is the reference method to assess the energy requirements in the non-COVID-19 ICU patients Indirect calorimetry should be proposed only for patients staying for more than 10 days in the ICU or those on full parenteral nutrition (PN) to avoid overfeeding.
Easy-to-prescribe nutrition support in the intensive care in the era of COVID-19	De Watteville et al., Clin Nutr Espen	July 2020 October 2020	Due to the lack of resources and the high risk of contagion, in- direct calorimetry (IC) measurements were not used to measure patients' energy expenditure.
Practical guidance for the use of indirect calorimetry during COVID 19 pandemic	Singer P, <i>Clin</i> <i>Nutr Exp</i>	July 2020 October 2020	It is mandatory to ensure health professional safety while assessing resting energy expenditure using metabolic monitors. Indirect calorimetry (IC) remains the best tool to assess resting energy expenditure in critically ill patients and ESPEN as well as ASPEN societies recommend its use.

Table 1. List of	examples c	of nutritional	quidelines on	coronavirus	disease 2	019	patients r	eferring to	indirect ca	lorimetry
			0				1			

COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019.

NEW DATA FOR USE OF INDIRECT CALORIMETRY IN CONTINUOUS RENAL REPLACEMENT THERAPY AND EXTRACORPOREAL MEMBRANE OXYGENATION

Effects of continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT), such as CO_2 extraction, citrate use and predilution and/or postdilution fluid(s) can effect indirect calorimetry measurements and/or mREE [16^{••}]. The role of CO_2 extraction on mREE has recently been determined to be quite minor, leading

to a difference of 34–44 kcal/day (only 2–3% of REE) depending on dilution fluids [17^{••}]. As this is a minimal effect, a correction factor for REE during CRRT should not be required [16^{••},17^{••}]. Citrate used in CRRT, is known to alter metabolism, thus indirect calorimetry is indicated to detect metabolic changes and adapt nutritional therapy [16^{••}]. Assessing accurate energy targets via indirect calorimetry in extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO), has also been addressed successfully by both a German approach based via blood gas analysis and

1070-5295 Copyright © 2021 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

www.co-criticalcare.com 3

indirect calorimetry measurement [18] and the double indirect calorimetry-measurement technique of De Waele *et al.* [19[•]]. The technical details of indirect calorimetry measurement on ECMO are thoughtfully explained in the recent narrative review of Moonen *et al.* [20^{••}].

USE OF INDIRECT CALORIMETRY IN SEVERE CORONAVIRUS DISEASE 2019 ICU PATIENTS

As described above, the recent worldwide COVID-19 pandemic [21[•]] has led to an increased emphasis on the need for accurate longitudinal indirect calorimetry-measurements to guide nutrition care in this challenging new ICU condition. To assess the metabolic phenotype of this new pandemic disease, Wischmeyer and the LEEP-COVID study team recently utilized the new-generation Q-NRG indirect calorimetry device (Fig. 1) to conduct the first longitudinal study of mREE and other metabolic measures in COVID-19 ICU patients (the LEEP-COVID study- ClincalTrials.Gov NCT04350073) [22^{••}]. This study was the first to demonstrate that longitudinal indirect calorimetry measures can be routinely and safely obtained in mechanically ventilated COVID-19 ICU patients [22**]. Initial results from the LEEP-COVID study show that in the first ICU week following intubation mREE was between 15 and 20 kcal/kg [for actual body weight (ABW) in BMI <30 and adjusted body weight (AdjBW) in obese patients] in COVID-19 ICU patients. A significant and persistent increase in energy needs (hypermetabolism) and marked variability in mREE values was observed following the first week postintubation. Distinct from data in smaller studies of other ICU populations [23], the hypermetabolism and mREE in COVID-19 patients following the first week of intubation persisted, and actually continued to rise during the second and third ICU weeks [often with a mean mREE = 150% predicted REE (pREE) by third ICU week postintubation]. Some patients were observed to have mREE of greater than two-fold that pREE by commonly utilized predictive equations [i.e. Harris-Benedict equation (HBE)]. This finding is consistent with another small trial of with a median mREE was 4044 kcal/day, which was 235.7 ± 51.7% of pREE [24[•]].

Consistent with aforementioned studies showing the inaccuracies of predictive energy equations in ICU populations [8^{••}], the HBE routinely and markedly underpredicted mREE following the first ICU week. Interestingly, the HBE often overpredicted energy targets in the first ICU week postintubation in COVID-19 patients. This is another example showing current utilized predictive



FIGURE 1. Conduct of indirect calorimetry in coronavirus disease 2019 ICU patients. (a) Jeroen Molinger preparing to perform indirect calorimetry measurements using new Q-NRG IC device in COVID-19 ICU patients at Duke University. (b) Dr Joop Jonckheer and Dietitian Miss Joy Demol developing nutritional strategy guided by indirect calorimetry at Brussels ICU. (c) Professor Dr Elisabeth De Waele performing indirect calorimetry in a ventilated COVID-19 ICU patient using safety first approach at Brussels ICU. COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019.



FIGURE 2. Resting energy expenditure in coronavirus disease 2019 ICU patients measured by indirect calorimetry in first and second wave of coronavirus disease 2019 in Brussels ICU.

equations do not accurately predict energy needs in ICU patients [4,5,6",7",8""], and predictive equations appear to be leading to significant overfeeding and under-feeding in COVID-19 throughout their ICU stays as well. Initial LEEP-COVID data demonstrate that mREE does not appear to be affected by paralysis or sedation and does not show a relationship to severity of organ failure. This is consistent with previously published data demonstrating that neuromuscular blockade appears to have a very minor effect on mREE [25"].

De Waele and Jonckheer also began to use indirect calorimetry in COVID-19 patients in March 2020 to guide optimal nutritional therapy (Fig. 1). Original retrospective analysis of indirect calorimetry data in COVID-19 collected in Brussel ICU reveals a wide variation of correlation between measured and predicative equation calculated energy expenditure. This variability in mREE was consistently observed in the first and second COVID-19 waves in the Brussels ICU (Fig. 2). A mean mREE of 21 kcal/kg/day over 19 measurements was presented in September 2020 at the European Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ESPEN) congress (Fig. 3).

Additional data on mREE in the severe COVID-19 patient in the ICU prior to intubation is urgently needed as many patients are now being managed for considerable periods on noninvasive respiratory support, such as Bilevel Positive Airway Pressure (Bi-PAP) and high-flow nasal cannula oxygen delivery. Further, an understanding of the metabolic needs and mREE in the post-ICU COVID survivor is also a critical area for future research to optimize recovery of patients from this ongoing pandemic. Overall, the LEEP-COVID study [22^{••}] and other initial data reported here demonstrates that routine, longitudinal indirect calorimetry use to accurately assess energy expenditure [1^{••},15^{••}] should become the standard of care to personalize nutrition therapy in COVID-19 and improve patient care in these challenging patients.

THE PHYSIOLOGY OF RESTING ENERGY EXPENDITURE THROUGHOUT PHASES OF CARE IN THE ICU AND NEED FOR REPEATED LONGITUDINAL INDIRECT CALORIMETRY MEASURES

REE during the ICU journey is driven by fundamental metabolic physiology. Different phases during the stay of the patient have been described and influence the caloric delivery. The acute phase, which starts with ICU admission disturbs metabolic homeostasis and is accompanied by rapid catabolism during, which well nourished patients can endogenously generate a significant portion of required nonprotein calories [1^{••},2]. Although it is currently impossible to measure this initial early endogenous nutrient production, the current ESPEN/ASPEN ICU guidelines suggest hypocaloric (\sim 70% REE) feeding during the early acute phase to prevent the risk of overfeeding [1^{••},2]. This has been the subject of a recent review citing the lack of studies and evidence supporting permissive underfeeding in sepsis and need for additional high-quality trials in this area [26[•]].

1070-5295 Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2021 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

www.co-criticalcare.com 5

Met	AB	OLI	ISM	B	Y IC	OF	CC	DVI	D:	19	PAT	IEN	TS		
Number of patients: 6				Number of measurements: 19					All ventilated COVID19						
Age 63					Female 1 Men 5					Survival rate 67%					
Body weight 94 Length 180					BMI 30					FiO ₂ 43%					
VO ₂ 291 ml /min					VCO ₂ 242 ml /min					Vt 508ml - 5.4 ml /kg					
REE 2021 kcal/day				REE 21 kcal/kg/day					RQ 0.83						
	1	2	3	5	6	8	11	14	17	18	22	25	27	28	
1		24					20				-	22	24		
3		11					20	16				- 22	21		
4									16		15				
5	45	26	23	25	27	28		24							
6	45	20	23	25	27	28	20	20	16	43	15	35 28.5	22.5	31 31	
	I	REE in l	kcal/kg/	′day. H	lorizonta	axis: ve	entilatio	n day. V	/ertica	l axis: pat	ient nu	mber			

FIGURE 3. Resting energy expenditure of 19 first indirect calorimetry measurements in coronavirus disease 2019 patients.

Various predictive equations have been proposed to calculate predicted REE (pREE) in the absence of gold-standard indirect calorimetry-measured REE but as mentioned, these have been found to be consistently inaccurate leading to harmful overfeeding and under-feeding[1^{**},4,5,6^{*},7^{*},8^{**}]. The reason for these inaccuracies is these predictive equations are not able to account for the rapidly changing physiology of the ICU patient. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 4, multiple factors have been found to influence REE [3**,16**,27**]. Endogenous physiologic changes, such as increased temperature, increased minute volume and increased heart rate all can elevate metabolic rate and increase mREE [27^{••}]. In addition to these physiological parameters, clinical interventions, such as the use of citrate during renal replacement (CRRT) therapy, caloric intake, vasopressor/inotrope use and/or rehabilitation activity will also increase mREE. [16^{••},17^{••},28[•]]. Metabolism can be minorly reduced (~6.6%) by paralysis [25[•]] and possibly with deep sedation and lower core temperature (hypothermia) if compensating mechanism like shivering are disabled [3^{••},16^{••},27^{••}]. The only tool to assess the effect of these ever-evolving modulators of metabolism and REE is the metabolic cart (indirect calorimetry).

The continuous changes in physiology and clinical care of the ICU patient also demands that repeat, longitudinal indirect calorimetry measurements

should be performed when any significant change in clinical condition (i.e. new infection or surgery) or clinical care of the patient occurs. Indeed, the simplified time-based model proposed by authors, such as van Zanten and Wischmeyer [291,30] in previous publications does not take into account the rapidly evolving and ever-changing clinical condition of the majority of ICU patients. This is exemplified by recent data in critically ill COVID-19 patients, where individual metabolism has been shown to vary greatly day-to-day (by as much as 1000 kcal/day) during ICU stay [22^{•••}]. This was commonly related to changes in clinical condition, new fever, new septic episodes and increased energy expenditure because of increased physical activity (such as ventilator weaning). Therefore, we propose an evolution of the existing simplified timeline models of nutrition delivery that currently exist [29",30] in Fig. 5. This new evolved care nutrition care schema includes longitudinal indirect calorimetry measures when changes in metabolism could occur to guide energy targets and delivery. Indeed, time since admission alone has not found to be associated with REE [27**]. Thus, it is key to repeat REE measurements via metabolic cart (indirect calorimetry) when changes during the patient's journey in the ICU occur. More-over, a new catabolic event (i.e. septic shock event) should trigger the nutritional therapist to make new measurements with the metabolic cart and caloric

Volume 27 • Number 00 • Month 2021



FIGURE 4. Key factors affecting resting energy expenditure. CRP, C-reactive protein; CRRT, continuous renal replacement therapy; FiO₂, inhaled oxygen concentration; HR, heart rate; LOS, length of stay; MV, minute volume; PEEP, positive end expiratory pressure; REE, resting energy expenditure; RRvrespiratory rate.



FIGURE 5. Personalized Indirect Calorimetry-Guided Critical Care Nutrition Algorithm (derived from recent evidenced-based ICU nutrition reviews. Data from [29^{••},30,37^{••}]. Please note: suggested indirect calorimetry measurement days are intended as general guidelines to create consistency in measurement throughout patient stay. Ideally, indirect calorimetry measurements should be performed two to three times per week and whenever there is a significant clinical change patient status, such as a new infection, sepsis episode, or increased physical activity/rehabilitation.

1070-5295 Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2021 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

www.co-criticalcare.com

prescription should probably be tailored back to 70% of REE during this acute phase

ROLE OF PERSONALIZED NUTRITION VIA USE OF INDIRECT CALORIMETRY TO IMPROVE OUTCOME IN THE ICU?

Review of recent data for the use of indirect calorimetry to improve clinical and functional outcomes includes a recent meta-analysis in eight randomized controlled trials (RCTs) enrolling 991 patients that demonstrated indirect calorimetry-targeted nutrition delivery reduced ICU mortality [31^{••}]. An additional study by Fetterplace *et al.* [32^{••}] showed that minimization of nutrition delivery deficits may decrease ICU-AW when indirect calorimetry was used to set energy targets. To this point, evidence supporting clinical outcome benefits of indirect calorimetry use has been limited by long-standing practical challenges to routine indirect calorimetry use and concerns around accuracy of previously existing indirect calorimetry devices. Thus, large-scale clinical evidence utilizing indirect calorimetry to improve clinical and ultimately functional outcomes is urgently needed. Given the new wide availability of an accurate, simple and practical next generation indirect calorimetry device, we hope larger scale trials exploring the role of indirect calorimetry-targeted ICU nutrition delivery to improve clinical and functional outcomes will be initiated. Further, we propose that all future clinical trials of nutrition delivery in critical illness should be conducted with objectively defined nutrition targets guided by longitudinal metabolic cart (indirect calorimetry) measures.

As metabolic cart technology has recently evolved, the design of future ICU nutrition trials also must evolve to move beyond mortality as a primary endpoint. The use of indirect calorimetryguided targets to adequate deliver caloric needs has been shown to support reduction of catabolism and protein breakdown, which in turn should theoretically increase muscle preservation and should enhance functional recovery [33"]. Thus, it is essential that future clinical trials of ICU nutrition therapy should focus on muscle function and quality of life as primary endpoints rather than mortality. These should include measures of ICU-acquired weakness (ICU-AW), such as muscle strength, 6min walk distance, EQ-5D and activities of daily life as described by the National Institutes of Health (NIH)-funded Improving Long-Term Outcomes Research for Acute Respiratory Failure initiative to standardize long-term outcome reporting in ICU trials (see project website for details on evidencedbased core outcome set of assessments for ICU-AW

and ICU survivorship – www.IMPROVELTO.com). Indeed, recent literature and ICU clinical trial groups have indicated mortality may no longer be a useful primary outcome in for future ICU trials [34]. Thus, we should heed this call for a focus on QoL-based primary outcomes in ICU nutrition trials. Examples of the challenges of mortality as primary endpoint in other specialties include that craniectomy in ischemic brain injury decreases mortality but may concomitantly increase morbidity, which is not an optimal goal. Therefore, trials based on functional outcomes are needed to guide individual therapy for these neurologic affected critical ill patients [35"]. Hence the quote 'Are we creating victims or survivors' is of crucial importance not only in how we deliver care but also how we design our future clinical trials [36].

CONCLUSION

Given recent innovations in indirect calorimetry technology and wide availability of a new generation indirect calorimetry device, it is essential that longitudinal indirect calorimetry measures before, during and after ICU care become the new worldwide standard of care to guide nutrition care. This position is well described and advocated for in the recent position paper by Wischmeyer et al. [37"] advocating that metabolic cart measures should become the new standard of care in the ICU. We as the authors of this review agree and conclude that longitudinal indirect calorimetry measures should become as ubiquitous in their use and reporting on ICU rounds as blood pressures and heart rates are reported and used to guide vasopressor therapy and other ICU care. As we have often said on rounds, we would not give vasopressors without measuring blood pressure, neither should we be blindly delivering nutrition without objective indirect calorimetry measures to guide its optimal administration. It is only with increased implementation of objective nutrition and metabolic measurement data, such as via longitudinal indirect calorimetry measures and routine bedside ultrasound-derived muscle mass/ energy state measures [28^{*}] that we will ensure each ICU patient receives optimal personalized nutrition care that delivers the right nutrition, in the right patient, at the right time to best optimize clinical outcomes.

Acknowledgements

J.M. for extraordinary commitment to improving care of COVID-19 patients worldwide by spending thousands of hours in COVID-19 patient ICU rooms performing indirect calorimetry and other metabolic measures for

8 www.co-criticalcare.com

Volume 27 • Number 00 • Month 2021

LEEP-COVID study. Entire LEEP-COVID research team at Duke University for all their work on collection and analysis of indirect calorimetry and other metabolic measures in COVID-19 ICU patients.

Authors' contributions: E.D.W., J.J. and P.W. designed the article, participated in drafting the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final version.

Financial support and sponsorship

None.

Conflicts of interest

J.J. declares hereby to have no conflicts of interest. P.W. reports receiving investigator-initiated grant funding related to this work from National Institutes of Health, Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Abbott, Baxter and Fresenius. P.W. has served as a consultant to Abbott, Fresenius, Baxter, Cardinal Health, and Nutricia, for research related to this work. P.W. has received unrestricted gift donation for nutrition research from Musclesound. P.W. has received honoraria or travel expenses for CME lectures on improving nutrition care from Abbott, Baxter, Danone-Nutricia and Nestle. E.D.W. reports receiving investigator-initiated grant funding related to this work from National Institutes of Health, Baxter, Nutricia and Fresenius. E.D.W. has served as a consultant to Baxter, Nutricia, Fresenius and *Cardinal Health, and for research related to this work.* E.D.W. has received honoraria or travel expenses for CME lectures on improving nutrition care from Baxter, Danone-Nutricia and Fresenius.

REFERENCES AND RECOMMENDED READING

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest

1. Singer P, Blaser AR, Berger MM, *et al.* ESPEN guideline on clinical nutrition in the intensive care unit. Clin Nutr 2019; 38:48–79.

- Recent comprehensive guidelines on nutrition delivery in critically ill patients.
- McClave SA, Taylor BE, Martindale RG, et al. Guidelines for the Provision and assessment of nutrition support therapy in the adult critically ill patient: Society of Critical Care Medicine (SCCM) and American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (A.S.P.E.N.). JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr 2016; 40:159-211.
- Achamrah N, Delsoglio M, De Waele E, *et al.* Indirect calorimetry: the 6 main issues. Clin Nutr 2021; 40:4–14.

Excellent recent review of key issues in utilization of indirect calorimetry in clinical practice.

- Fraipont V, Preiser JC. Energy estimation and measurement in critically ill patients. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr 2013; 37:705-713.
- Guttormsen AB, Pichard C. Determining energy requirements in the ICU. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2014; 17:171–176.
- Israfilov E, Kir S. Comparison of energy expenditure in mechanically ventilated
 septic shock patients in acute and recovery periods via indirect calorimetry. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr 2020. [Epub ahead of print]

Retropective study of indirect calorimetry measurements in 28 septic shock patients showing measured resting energy expenditure (mREE) increases in septic shock. Significant differences existed between mREE and equation-predicted energy expenditure (pREE), which were not correlated. The mREE was higher in the acute period. Despite the increasing energy requirement throughout ICU stay, the pREE was consistently well below mREE.

 Smetana KS, Hannawi Y, May CC. Indirect calorimetry measurements compared with guideline weight-based energy calculations in critically ill stroke patients. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr 2020.

Study of of indirect calorimetry measurements in stroke patients in ICU comparing indirect calorimetry-derived mREE and two weight-based predictive feeding doses (25 kcal/kg and 30 kcal/kg/day). The low weight-based strategy (25 kcal/kg/day) consistently underpredicted indirect calorimetry-determined mREE in intracerebral hemorrhage and subarachnoid hemorrhage patients, but not in acute ischemic stroke, and 30 kcal/kg/day better approximated needs in intracerebral hemorrhage and subarachnoid hemorrhage patients.

 8. Zusman O, Kagan I, Bendavid I, et al. Predictive equations versus measured energy expenditure by indirect calorimetry: a retrospective validation. Clin Nutr 2019; 38:1206-1210.

The performance of indirect calorimetry-measured mREE was compared with predictive nutrition equations in a total of 3573 REE measurements in 1440 ICU patients. The Faisy equation had the least mean difference (90 kcal); Harris-Benedict had the highest correlation (only 52%) and agreement (only 50%) and Jolliet the highest concordance (only 62%). Agreement within 10% of caloric needs was met only in a third of patients. This article showed predictive equations have low performance when compared with indirect calorimetry-measured mREE in ICU patients and indirect calorimetry is needed for accurate estimation of REE in the ICU.

 Koekkoek WAC, Xiaochen G, van Dijk D, van Zanten ARH. Resting energy expenditure by indirect calorimetry versus the ventilator-VCO(2) derived method in critically ill patients: The DREAM-VCO(2) prospective comparative study. Clin Nutr ESPEN 2020; 39:137–143.

Large prospective cohort study comparing energy expenditure via indirect calorimetry and ventilator-derived carbon dioxide consumption (EEVCO₂) method to calculate energy expenditure. The mean difference between two techniques was large (511 kcal). EEVCO₂ overestimates energy expenditure and the introduction of the food quotient did not improve performance. This large clinical unacceptable difference indicates EEVCO₂ is not a valid alternative to gold-standard indirect calorimetry measures.

- Sundstrom M, Tjader I, Rooyackers O, Wernerman J. Indirect calorimetry in mechanically ventilated patients. A systematic comparison of three instruments. Clin Nutr 2013; 32:118–121.
- Graf S, Karsegard VL, Viatte V, *et al.* Evaluation of three indirect calorimetry devices in mechanically ventilated patients: which device compares best with the Deltatrac II((R))? A prospective observational study. Clin Nutr 2015; 34:60-65.
- 12. De Waele E, Spapen H, Honore PM, et al. Introducing a new generation indirect calorimeter for estimating energy requirements in adult intensive care unit patients: feasibility, practical considerations, and comparison with a mathematical equation. J Crit Care 2013; 28:884.e1-886.e6.
- Oshima T, Berger MM, De Waele E, et al. Indirect calorimetry in nutritional therapy. A position paper by the ICALIC study group. Clinical Nutrition 2017; 36:651–662.
- **14.** Delsoglio M, Dupertuis YM, Oshima T, *et al.* Evaluation of the accuracy and precision of a new generation indirect calorimeter in canopy dilution mode.

Clin Nutr 2020; 39:1927–1934. Key study evaluating the accuracy and intra-unit and inter-unit precision of the new Q-NRG IC device in canopy dilution mode *in vitro* and in spontaneously breathing adults versus gold-standard mass spectroscopy. Results showed both in-vitro and in-vivo measurements of VO₂, VCO₂, RQ and energy expenditure on multiple Q-NRG units showed minimal differences compared with expected values and mass spectroscopy with very low intra-unit and inter-unit variability. These results confirm the very high accuracy and precision of the Q-NRG indirect calorimeter.

15. Oshima T, Delsoglio M, Dupertuis YM, *et al.* The clinical evaluation of the new indirect calorimeter developed by the ICALIC project. Clin Nutr 2020.

This multicenter study evaluated and validated use of the new indirect calorimeter device in ICU patients. Results of the study showed the Q-NRG indirect calorimetry required a much shorter time (with reliable measurements in ~10 min) to determine energy expenditure in mechanically ventilated ICU patients versus other indirect calorimeters. The authors concluded new Q-NRG is the only commercially available indirect calorimetry tested against mass spectrometry to ensure gas accuracy, while being easy-to use. These characteristics should allow for a much broader use of indirect calorimetry in order to optimize the prescription of nutritional support by limiting the risk of underfeeding or overfeeding.

Jonckheer J, Spapen H, Malbrain M, et al. Energy expenditure and caloric
 targets during continuous renal replacement therapy under regional citrate anticoagulation. A viewpoint. Clin Nutr 2020; 39:353–357.

Key review on use of metabolic cart (indirect calorimetry) in patients on ccontinuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT). Article discusses effects of CRRT factors, such as CO₂ extraction, citrate use and predilution and/or postdilution fluid(s) on indirect calorimetry measurements and/or mREE values.

 17. Jonckheer J, Demol J, Lanckmans K, et al. MECCIAS trial: metabolic consequences of continuous veno-venous hemofiltration on indirect calorimetry. Clin Nutr 2020; 39:3797–3803.

Until recently, continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) precluded the use of indirect calorimetry because of several perceived limitations. This key new study investigated the impact of CRRT on VCO₂, VO₂ and REE to help facilitate indirect calorimetry use during CRRT. Data showed a minimal effect of CO₂ removal on mREE measures. Study concluded: CO₂ alterations because of CRRT are clinically of no importance so no correction factor of REE is needed on CRRT; indirect calorimetry must be performed during CWH as CWH seems to alter metabolism; these changes may be mainly explained by the use of citrate predilution.

1070-5295 Copyright © 2021 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

- Wollersheim T, Frank S, Müller MC, et al. Measuring energy expenditure in extracorporeal lung support Patients (MEEP) - protocol, feasibility and pilot trial. Clin Nutr 2018; 37:301–307.
- **19.** De Waele E, Jonckheer J, Pen JJ, *et al.* Energy expenditure of patients on
- ECMO: a prospective pilot study. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2019; 63:360-364.

Key article describing two metabolic cart (indirect calorimetry) device technique to measure indirect calorimetry values in extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) patients.

Moonen H, Beckers KJH, van Zanten ARH. Energy expenditure and indirect
 calorimetry in critical illness and convalescence: current evidence and practical considerations. J Intensive Care 2021; 9:8.

Recent review of indirect calorimetry use in ICU and post-ICU period covering recent evidence and practical indirect calorimetry use considerations

21. Berlin D, Gulick R, Martinez F. Severe Covid-19. New Engl J Med 2020.

Definitive early review of pathophysiology and initial treatment strategies in severe COVID-19 in critically ill patients.

 Whittle J, Molinger J, MacLeod D, *et al.* Group L-CS: persistent hypermetabolism and longitudinal energy expenditure in critically ill patients with COVID-19. Crit Care 2020; 24:581.

First description of longitudinal metabolic phenotype and energy expenditure in critically ill COVID-19 patients. Data shows COVID-19 ICU patients display a unique metabolic response of normometabolism in first week post-ICU admission and become markedly hypermetabolic in the second and third week post-ICU admission, further study showed consistent inaccuracy of predictive equations for energy need throughout ICU stay.

- Uehara M, Plank LD, Hill GL. Components of energy expenditure in patients with severe sepsis and major trauma: a basis for clinical care. Crit Care Med 1999; 27:1295–1302.
- 24. Yu PJ, Cassiere H, DeRosa S, *et al.* Hypermetabolism and coronavirus
 disease 2019. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr 2020; 44:1234–1236.

Small study of seven intubated COVID-19 patients showing significant hypermetabolism when REE is measured by indirect calorimetry.

 Koekkoek WAC, Menger YA, van Zanten FJL, *et al.* The effect of cisatracurium infusion on the energy expenditure of critically ill patients: an observational cohort study. Critical Care 2020; 24:32.

Key study showing minimal effect of neuromuscular blockade on measured REE (via indirect calorimetry) of approximately 6.6% in ventilated, paralyzed ICU patients.

26. van Niekerk G, Meaker C, Engelbrecht AM. Nutritional support in sepsis:
when less may be more. Crit Care 2020; 24:53.

Recent review examining role of permissive underfeeding in sepsis that cites lack of clinical studies supporting this often recommended practice and discusses need for additional high quality trials in this area

27. Mtaweh H, Soto Aguero MJ, Campbell M, et al. Systematic review of factors
 associated with energy expenditure in the critically ill. Clin Nutr ESPEN 2019;
 33:111-124.

Excellent review of key factors that influence energy expenditure in critically ill patients.

 28. Molinger J, Pastva AM, Whittle J, Wischmeyer PE. Novel approaches to metabolic assessment and structured exercise to promote recovery in ICU survivors. Curr Opin Crit Care 2020; 26:369–378.

Excellent review of new approaches to assessment of muscle-mass and metabolism via new muscle-specific ultrasound device and cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) in critically ill and recovering post-ICU patients. Discusses use of these new technologies in ICU to direct structured, objective exercise and nutrition interventions. **29.** van Zanten ARH, De Waele E, Wischmeyer PE. Nutrition therapy and critical illness: practical guidance for the ICU, post-ICU, and long-term convalescence phases. Crit Care 2019; 23:368.

Excellent and comprehensive review of ICU nutrition delivery across all phases of ICU and post-ICU care.

- Wischmeyer PE. Nutrition therapy in sepsis. Crit Care Clin 2018; 34:107-125.
- Duan JY, Zheng WH, Zhou H, et al. Energy delivery guided by indirect
 calorimetry in critically ill patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care 2021; 25:88.

Key recent meta-analysis of energy delivery guided by indirect calorimetry in critically ill patients. eight RCTs enrolling 991 patients were evaluated and demonstrated indirect calorimetry-targeted nutrition delivery reduces short-term ICU mortality. Indirect calorimetry-guided nutrition strategies did not significantly prolong or effect the duration of mechanical ventilation, length of stay in ICU or hospital.

32. Fetterplace K, Beach LJ, MacIsaac C, *et al.* Associations between nutritional ■ energy delivery, bioimpedance spectroscopy and functional outcomes in survivors of critical illness. J Hum Nutr Diet 2019; 32:702-712.

A prospective observational study of 60 ICU patients showing cumulative energy deficit based on indirect calorimetry-measured energy targets was associated with increased ICU-acquired weakness, reduced functional outcomes, and greater loss of fat-free mass in ventilated ICU patients. Specifically, cumulative energy deficit (per 1000 kcal) was independently associated with greater odds of ICU-acquired weakness [odds ratio (OR) = 2.1, P = 0.001], reductions in fat-free mass (-1.3 kg; 95% P = 0.02) and physical function scores (-0.6 points; P = 0.001).

- Sundström Rehal M, Liebau F, Wernerman J, Rooyackers O. Whole-body protein kinetics in critically ill patients during 50 or 100% energy provision by
- enteral nutrition a randomized cross-over study. PLoS One 2020; 15:e0240045.

Clinical trial looking at effect of different levels of energy provision on whole body protein kinetics in critically ill patients. Data showed during feeding (determined by indirect calorimetry argets) where all patients received more than 1.2 g/kg/day of protein that mean whole-body protein balance increased significantly when patients received 100% of indirect calorimetry-determined enteral nutrition targets as compared with 50% of enteral nutrition targets. Results suggest a better whole-body protein balance during full dose as compared with half dose enteral nutrition.

- Kaukonen KM, Bailey M, Suzuki S, et al. Mortality related to severe sepsis and septic shock among critically ill patients in Australia and New Zealand, 2000-2012. JAMA 2014; 311:1308–1316.
- 35. Lazaridis C, Mansour A. To decompress or not? An expected utility inspired approach to shared decision-making for supratentorial ischemic stroke. Neurocrit Care 2021.

Editorial article on illustrating challenges of mortality alone as primary endpoint of clinical trials. Discusses data showing craniectomy in ischemic brain injury decreases mortality but may concomitantly increase morbidity, which may be not an optimal goal and creates challenges with mortality as a primary endpoint.

 Wischmeyer PE. Are we creating survivors...or victims in critical care? Delivering targeted nutrition to improve outcomes. Curr Opin Crit Care 2016; 22:279–284.

37. Wischmeyer PE, Molinger J, Haines K. Indirect calorimetry is essential for ■ optimal nutrition therapy in the ICU. Nutr Clin Pract 2021. (in press).

Recent excellent review advocating that with development/availability of a new generation indirect calorimeter and new data supporting its use that indirect calorimetry is essential for optimal ICU nutrition care and should now be standard of care in the ICU. This article was one of two point–counterpoint articles on the routine use of indirect calorimetry in the ICU in Nutrition in Clinical Practice journal.